



DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/125,747	08/25/1998	FERNAND NARBEY TOROSSIAN	TORO-0101-PU	8139
5	590 - 07/15/2003			
JOHN A ARTZ			EXAMINER	
LYON & ARTZ 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD			SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S	
SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD), MI 48034		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/125,747 Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

TOROSSIAN, FERNAND NARBEY

Khatol S Shahnan-Shah

1645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may pmly be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)]

a) 💆	
b) L	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In on event, however, will the satustop period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have fee unde (2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or at forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if ed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖾	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \(\) will not be entered or b) \(\) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: None.
	Claim(s) objected to: None.
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-28</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.
8. 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🔲	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:

Attachment to Advisory Action

- Applicant's amendment G and reply to a final office action and request for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.116, received June 16, 2003, paper 30 is acknowledged. The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new matter and new issues that would require further consideration and/or search for the following reasons:
- 2. The term "immunodulatory" added in claims 17-28 introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material, which is not supported by the original specification or claims. Applicant has replaced the term immunomodulatory with this new term "immunodulatory" which has not been defined by the specification or the claims. Exhibit P, page 825 of the Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary only recites definition of the term immunomodulatory not "immunodulatory".

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-8896. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:30 AM - 4 PM on Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned to is (703) 305-3014.

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645

July 08, 2003

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D